

Canonical quantization of $(2 + 1)$ dimensional QED with topological mass term

Kurt Haller and Edwin Lim-Lombridas

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Ct 06269

We discuss the canonical quantization of Quantum Electrodynamics in $2 + 1$ dimensions, with a Chern-Simons topological mass term and gauge-covariant coupling to a Dirac spinor field. A gauge-fixing term is used which generates a canonical momentum for A_0 , so that there are no primary constraints on operator-valued fields. Gauss's Law and the gauge condition, $A_0 = 0$, are implemented by embedding the formulation in an appropriate physical subspace, in which state vectors remain naturally, in the course of time evolution. The photon propagator is derived from the canonical theory. The electric and magnetic fields are separated into parts that reflect the presence of massive photons, and other parts that are rigidly attached to charged fermions and do not consist of any observable, propagating particle excitations. The effect of rotations on charged particle states is analyzed, and the relation between the canonical and the Belinfante "symmetric" angular momentum is discussed. It is shown that the rotation operator can be consistently formulated so that charged particles behave like fermions, and do not acquire any arbitrary phases during rotations, even when they are dressed in the electromagnetic fields required for them to obey Gauss's Law.

PACS numbers: 03.70+k, 11.10.Ef, 11.15.-q

KHALLER@UCONNVM

I. INTRODUCTION

Gauge theories in $2 + 1$ dimensions have attracted considerable attention, partly because of the suggestion that the quantum Hall effect [1] and high T_c superconductivity [2] are planar phenomena which such gauge theories might describe correctly. Investigations of these gauge theories are also motivated by their intrinsic theoretical interest. One feature that is of interest in $2 + 1$ dimensional Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) is the fact that a gauge-invariant Chern-Simons (CS) term in the Lagrangian generates a so-called topological mass for the single observable excitation mode of the gauge field. [3] The presence of the CS term is of further interest because “pure” CS theory—i.e., the theory in which the CS term is the only kinetic energy term in which the gauge field appears in the Lagrangian—exhibits anyonic behavior. [4–7] Some authors have suggested that when a Maxwell kinetic energy term is added to pure CS theory, yielding $2 + 1$ dimensional QED with a CS topological mass term, anyonic statistics should also result. [8–10]

In this work, we will undertake the quantization of QED in $2 + 1$ dimensions with a topological mass term, interacting with a charged fermion field which obeys the $2 + 1$ dimensional Dirac Equation. We will work in the temporal gauge, and will apply a method of dealing with the gauge field constraints (i.e., Gauss’s Law and the gauge condition), which one of us (KH) has used extensively in previous work. [11,12] In this method, the constraints are not imposed at the operator level, and a gauge-fixing term is chosen which generates a momentum conjugate to A_0 , the timelike component of the gauge field. The primary constraint, that the momentum conjugate to A_0 vanishes, is thereby avoided, and the equal time commutation rules (ETCR) are completely canonical. Because the theory is free of all primary operator-valued constraints, no special procedures (such as Dirac’s) [13] need to be used in its quantization; there are, furthermore, no operator-ordering problems stemming from the failure of constrained operators to commute. The gauge condition and

Gauss's Law are implemented in this procedure by selecting a physical subspace within which all time evolution naturally takes place. Photon ghosts are used to represent those gauge field components which mediate interactions among charges and currents, but which do not have any observable particle-like excitations. In this theory, these fields include not only a cylindrically radial electric field, which is the $2+1$ dimensional analog of the Coulomb field, but also a magnetic field perpendicular to the spatial plane. Both these fields originate from the same charge density sources.

In Sec. II of this paper, we will apply the machinery of canonical quantization to the Lagrangian for this model; we will also show how to expand the space-dependent operator-valued fields in terms of plane wave excitations for electrons and a single variety of propagating massive photons, and for two varieties of gauge ghosts. In Sec. III, we will implement the constraints, and demonstrate that, once imposed, they apply time-independently. In this section, we also will transform to a representation in which the “ghost” degrees of freedom no longer participate in the time evolution of observable states. When such a transformation is carried out, non-local interactions describe the long-range effects mediated by ghost excitations in the original representation. In $3+1$ dimensional QED, this non-local interaction is the familiar Coulomb interaction. [11] In the model under investigation here, the corresponding non-local interaction includes not only the $2+1$ dimensional analog of the Coulomb interaction, but also a further non-local interaction between charge and current densities; this interaction reflects the existence of a magnetic field, originating from a charged source, which has no observable particle-like excitations, resembling, in that way, the Coulomb field in ordinary QED. In Sec. IV, we develop the perturbative theory for this model; we obtain the propagator for the gauge field, and use it to calculate the leading order of the S-matrix element for electron-electron scattering. In Sec. V, we will expand the gauge and spinor fields in terms of excitations with definite angular momentum, and obtain an expression for the total canonical (Noether) angular momentum operator. We will show that the canonical angular momentum is invariant to time-independent gauge transformations, which are the most general gauge transformations that the canonical theory in the temporal

gauge allows. We will also exhibit other properties of the canonical angular momentum that make its use as the rotation operator appropriate. We will apply the rotation operator to gauge-invariant charged states, which obey Gauss's Law, and we will show that, in contrast to pure CS theory, these charged states only change sign in 2π rotations, and do not acquire arbitrary “anyonic” phases. Lastly, in this section we will discuss problems that arise when the Belinfante “symmetric” form of the angular momentum is used in the rotation operator.

II. FORMULATION OF THE THEORY

The Lagrangian for QED in $2 + 1$ dimensions with a topological mass term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}F_{ln}F_{ln} + \frac{1}{2}F_{l0}F_{l0} + j_l A_l - j_0 A_0 - \partial_0 A_0 G \\ & + \frac{1}{4}m\epsilon_{ln}\{F_{ln}A_0 - 2F_{n0}A_l\} + \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - M)\psi,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where $F_{ln} = \partial_n A_l - \partial_l A_n$ and $F_{l0} = \partial_l A_0 + \partial_0 A_l$; vector components labeled with latin subscripts (j_l and A_l) denote the spatial components of the contravariant quantities (j^λ and A^λ , respectively); the latin subscripts are summed over two spatial directions, and ϵ_{ln} is a completely antisymmetric second rank tensor. The γ matrices for the Dirac field will be represented in terms of Pauli spin matrices as $\gamma^0 = -\sigma_3$, $\gamma^1 = i\sigma_2$, and $\gamma^2 = -i\sigma_1$; the spinor currents are $j^\lambda = e\bar{\psi}\gamma^\lambda\psi$.

Eq. (1) contains the CS term, as well as the gauge-fixing term, $-G\partial_0 A_0$, which enables us to implement the gauge choice $A_0 = 0$, but which still avoids the primary constraint that causes the momentum conjugate to A_0 to vanish as an operator identity. Eq. (1) leads to the Euler-Lagrange Equations

$$\partial_l F_{ln} + \partial_0 F_{n0} - j_n + m\epsilon_{nl}F_{l0} = 0,\tag{2}$$

$$\partial_l F_{l0} + j_0 - \frac{1}{2}m\epsilon_{ln}F_{ln} = \partial_0 G,\tag{3}$$

$$\partial_0 A_0 = 0,\tag{4}$$

and

$$(M - i\gamma^\mu D_\mu)\psi = 0, \quad (5)$$

where D_μ is the gauge-covariant derivative $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$. Eq. (2) is the analog of the Maxwell- Ampere Equation for QED in $2 + 1$ dimensions with a topological mass term; in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, it is just the Maxwell-Ampere Equation in $2 + 1$ dimensional massless QED. When $\partial_l F_{ln}$ and $\partial_0 F_{n0}$ are eliminated, it becomes the corresponding equation in pure CS Theory. Eq. (3) would be the analog of Gauss's Law in this theory if its right-hand side were 0 instead of $\partial_0 G$. In that case, the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit again leads to Gauss's Law for $2 + 1$ dimensional massless QED; and the elimination of $\partial_l F_{l0}$ leads to $j_0 = (1/2)m\epsilon_{ln}F_{ln}$, the form that Gauss's Law takes in pure CS theory. The inclusion of $\partial_0 G$ introduces the time dependence that makes Eq. (3) an equation of motion instead of a time-independent operator-valued constraint. An additional equation, important for the implementation of Gauss's Law, is

$$\partial_0 \partial_0 G = 0; \quad (6)$$

it is obtained by differentiating Eq. (2) by ∂_n and (3) by ∂_0 , and adding the resulting two equations. In Sec. III, we will show how Eqs. (4) and (6) enable us to chose a physical subspace within which the time evolution of state vectors naturally is contained, and in which the gauge condition, $A_0 = 0$, and Gauss's Law hold.

The momenta conjugate to the fields are

$$\Pi_n = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 A_n)} = F_{n0} + \frac{1}{2}m\epsilon_{nl}A_l, \quad (7)$$

$$\Pi_0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 A_0)} = -G, \quad (8)$$

$$\Pi_\psi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \psi)} = i\psi^\dagger; \quad (9)$$

and the Hamiltonian density is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_I$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_0 = & \frac{1}{2}\Pi_n\Pi_n + \frac{1}{4}F_{ln}F_{ln} + A_0\partial_n\Pi_n + \frac{1}{8}m^2A_lA_l \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m\epsilon_{ln}A_l\Pi_n - \frac{1}{4}m\epsilon_{ln}F_{ln}A_0 + \mathcal{H}_{e\bar{e}}\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_I = -j_n A_n + j_0 A_0; \quad (11)$$

$\mathcal{H}_{e\bar{e}}$ is the Hamiltonian density for the electron field and is given by $\mathcal{H}_{e\bar{e}} = \psi^\dagger(\gamma^0 M - i\gamma^0\gamma^n\partial_n)\psi$. Since there are no primary constraints in this formulation of the theory, the equal time commutation (or anticommutation) rules are completely canonical, and are given by

$$[A_0(\mathbf{x}), G(\mathbf{y})] = -i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (12)$$

$$[A_l(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_n(\mathbf{y})] = i\delta_{l,n}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (13)$$

$$\{\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{y})\} = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (14)$$

In order to arrive at a Fock Space in which time evolution of state vectors takes place, we represent the space-time fields in terms of creation and annihilation operators for the appropriate gauge field excitations. Since there is only one, massive, observable gauge field excitation, we surmise that the transverse mode of A_l can be represented by $A_l^T = e^{i\eta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\epsilon_{ln} k_n / k) (2\omega)^{-1/2} a(\mathbf{k}) + \text{h.a.}$, and the transverse mode of Π_l by $\Pi_l^T = e^{i\eta'} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \epsilon_{ln} (k_{ln} / k) (\omega/2)^{1/2} a(\mathbf{k}) + \text{h.a.}$, where η and η' are arbitrary phase factors; $a(\mathbf{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ obey $[a(\mathbf{k}), a^\dagger(\mathbf{q})] = \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$ and annihilate and create, respectively, photons of momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and energy ω , where $\omega = \sqrt{m^2 + k^2}$. Since this single variety of gauge field excitation is insufficient to represent all the commutation rules given in Eqs. (12)–(14), we must use additional excitations to complete the momentum space representation of all fields in this theory. Proceeding under the assumption that there is indeed only one observable excitation mode in this gauge field, we make the *ansatz* that ghost modes alone will suffice to complete the desired Fock Space. In fact, the identical ghost spectrum used in previous work is well suited

for this purpose. [11] These ghost modes come in two varieties, $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{k})$, and their hermitian adjoints are $a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R^\star(\mathbf{k})$, respectively. They obey the ETCR

$$[a_Q(\mathbf{k}), a_R^\star(\mathbf{q})] = [a_R(\mathbf{k}), a_Q^\star(\mathbf{q})] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}}; \quad (15)$$

and

$$[a_Q(\mathbf{k}), a_Q^\star(\mathbf{q})] = [a_R(\mathbf{k}), a_R^\star(\mathbf{q})] = 0. \quad (16)$$

The norm of any state with a single variety of these ghosts, e.g., the norm of the one particle state, $||a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k})N\rangle| = \langle N a_Q(\mathbf{k}) | a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}) N \rangle$, vanishes identically, where $|N\rangle$ represents a state in which any assemblage of creation operators for observable particle modes act on the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ annihilated by all annihilation operators. The unit operator in the one particle ghost (opg) sector is given by

$$[1]_{\text{opg}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}) |0\rangle \langle 0| a_R(\mathbf{k}) + a_R^\star(\mathbf{k}) |0\rangle \langle 0| a_Q(\mathbf{k}). \quad (17)$$

The use of ghosts is appropriate and necessary for components of gauge fields which have non-vanishing commutators with each other, but which do not exhibit any observable, propagating excitations. The *ansatz* that the further excitations required to represent a gauge field are ghosts, therefore tests the surmise that the single massive photon is the only observable mode it has. To find a useful representation of the longitudinal fields, as well as of A_0 and G , in terms of ghost excitations, we undertake to find a representation that will lead to $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k})$ operators that are time-independent in the interaction-free case, i.e., a representation for which $[H_0, a_Q(\mathbf{k})] = 0$ and $[H_0, a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k})] = 0$. To find such a representation, we use a conveniently chosen but arbitrary set of operators, $\varphi_i(\mathbf{k})$ and $\varphi_i^\star(\mathbf{k})$, obeying commutations rules $[\varphi_i(\mathbf{k}), \varphi_j^\star(\mathbf{q})] = C_{i,j} \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}}$, where $C_{i,j}$ is a symmetric matrix. We also represent $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{k})$, and their adjoints, as

$$\begin{aligned} a_Q(\mathbf{k}) &= \sum_i Q_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{k}), a_R(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_i R_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{k}), \\ a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}) &= \sum_i Q_i^\star \varphi_i^\star(\mathbf{k}), a_R^\star(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_i R_i^\star \varphi_i^\star(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

constraining the coefficients Q_i , R_i so that the commutation rules, Eqs. (15) and (16), are satisfied. We then expand the longitudinal components of A_l and Π_l , as well as A_0 and G , in terms of the $\varphi_i(\mathbf{k})$ and $\varphi_i^*(\mathbf{k})$ excitations, again using a simple *ansatz*. We then invert Eq. (18) and express the Hamiltonian $H_0 = \int \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ in terms of the operators $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$, $a_R(\mathbf{k})$, $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$, and the coefficients Q_i , R_i , Q_i^* and R_i^* . We adjust the coefficients so that the ghost operators $a_R(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$ do not appear in H_0 , and therefore that H_0 commutes with $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$. These calculations were carried out using an operator algebra manipulation package in *Mathematica* [14]. The fact that this program can be successfully completed serves as confirmation that only one observable, massive mode arises in this model. As a last step, we unitarily transform all operators to eliminate combinations of the form $a_Q(\mathbf{k})a(-\mathbf{k})$, $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k})$, $a_Q(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})$ from the transformed H_0 . The resulting fields have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
A_l(\mathbf{x}) = & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k_l}{k\omega^{3/2}} \left[a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln}k_n}{k\sqrt{\omega}} \left[a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v^4 k_l}{k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}} \left[a_R(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_R^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& - \frac{8\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k\kappa_0^{3/2} k_l}{v^2 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + 8im \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln}k_n k\kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right], \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_l(\mathbf{x}) = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln}k_n \sqrt{\omega}}{k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} \right\} \left[a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + \frac{im}{2^{3/2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k_l}{k\sqrt{\omega}} \left[a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + \frac{m}{32} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{v^4 \epsilon_{ln}k_n}{k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}} \left[a_R(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_R^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& - \frac{4m\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln}k\kappa_0^{3/2} k_n}{v^2 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& + 4im^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k_l k\kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4 \omega^2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\omega^2}{m^2} \right\} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right], \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$A_0(\mathbf{x}) = -4i\gamma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k\sqrt{\kappa_0}}{v^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right], \quad (21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(\mathbf{x}) = & \frac{1}{8\gamma} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v^2}{k\sqrt{\kappa_0}} \left[a_R(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_R^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right] \\ & + \frac{16}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k^3\kappa_0^{7/2}}{v^4\omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where γ and β are arbitrary, real numerical parameters, and where $v^2 = m^2 + 8k^2$, $\kappa_0^2 = 4k^2 + m^2$ and $\omega^2 = m^2 + k^2$. The electric and magnetic fields are

$$\begin{aligned} E_l(\mathbf{x}) = & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln}k_n}{k} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2}} \left\{ a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\} \\ & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{imk_l}{k\sqrt{2\omega}} \left\{ a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8ik_lk^3\kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4\omega^4} \left\{ a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mathbf{x}) = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left\{ a(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8mk^3\kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4\omega^2} \left\{ a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

When we substitute these expressions for A_l , Π_l , and A_0 into Eq. (10), we obtain H_0 in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\omega}{2} \left\{ a(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{32k^6\kappa_0^5}{v^8\omega^2} \left\{ \left(1 - i\frac{\gamma v^2\omega^2}{k^2\kappa_0^2} \right) a_Q(-\mathbf{k})a_Q(\mathbf{k}) \right. \\ & \left. + 2a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})a_Q(\mathbf{k}) + \left(1 + i\frac{\gamma v^2\omega^2}{k^2\kappa_0^2} \right) a_Q^*(-\mathbf{k})a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ & + H_{e\bar{e}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $H_{e\bar{e}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{H}_{e\bar{e}}$. We can construct a Fock Space, $\{|h_i\rangle\}$, based on the perturbative vacuum, $|0\rangle$, which is annihilated by all the annihilation operators, $a(\mathbf{k})$, $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{k})$,

as well as the electron and positron operators $e(\mathbf{k})$ and $\bar{e}(\mathbf{k})$. [15] We observe that, in this perturbative Fock Space, all multiparticle states of observable particles that include electrons, positrons, and the massive photons created by $a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$, are eigenstates of H_0 . States, in which a single variety of ghost creation operator such as $a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k})$ or $a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}_1)a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}_2)$, operates on one of these multiparticle states $|N\rangle$, have zero norm; they have no probability of being observed, and have vanishing expectation values of H_0 , momentum, as well as of all other observables for which they are eigenstates. States, in which both varieties of ghost appear simultaneously such as $a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}_1)a_R^\star(\mathbf{k}_2)|N\rangle$, are not interpretable, and their appearance in the course of time evolution signals the danger of a catastrophic defect in the theory.

Substitution of Eqs. (19)–(22) into H_I leads to an expression in which all gauge field excitations appear, including creation and annihilation operators for both varieties of ghosts. In Sec. III, we will show how implementation of the constraints prevents the catastrophic appearance of state vectors in which both varieties of ghosts coincide.

III. IMPLEMENTING GAUSS'S LAW AND GAUGE CONDITION

The operator, $\mathcal{G}$, that expresses Gauss's Law in this model, is

$$\mathcal{G} = \partial_n F_{n0} + j_0 - \frac{1}{2} m \epsilon_{nl} F_{nl}, \quad (26)$$

so that Eq. (3) is given as $\mathcal{G} = \partial_0 G$; $\mathcal{G}$ can also be represented as

$$\mathcal{G} = \partial_n \Pi_n + j_0 - \frac{1}{4} m \epsilon_{nl} F_{nl}. \quad (27)$$

Substitution of Eqs. (19)–(22) into Eq. (27) leads to

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4} \left\{ a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_Q^\star(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\} + j_0(\mathbf{x}). \quad (28)$$

We can write this as

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4} \left\{ \Omega(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \Omega^\star(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\} \quad (29)$$

where $\Omega(\mathbf{k})$ is defined by

$$\Omega(\mathbf{k}) = a_Q(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{v^4}{16k^3\kappa_0^{5/2}}j_0(\mathbf{k}). \quad (30)$$

Similarly, we can express A_0 as

$$A_0(\mathbf{x}) = -4i\gamma \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k\sqrt{\kappa_0}}{v^2} [\Omega(\mathbf{k})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - \Omega^*(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}]. \quad (31)$$

We will now implement Gauss's Law and $A_0 = 0$ by defining a “physical subspace” $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, of another Fock Space, in which all state vectors $|\nu\rangle$ obey the condition

$$\Omega(\mathbf{k})|\nu\rangle = 0. \quad (32)$$

For all state vectors $|\nu\rangle$ and $|\nu'\rangle$ in this physical subspace $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, $\langle\nu'|\mathcal{G}|\nu\rangle = 0$ and $\langle\nu'|A_0|\nu\rangle = 0$, so that both Gauss's Law and the gauge condition hold. Moreover, a state vector initially in the physical subspace will always remain entirely contained in it, as it develops under time evolution. This follows from the fact that $[H, \Omega(\mathbf{k})] = 0$, a relation which can be explicitly demonstrated, as well as inferred from Eqs. (4) and (6). To complete the Fock Space in which this physical subspace is embedded, we note that there is a unitary transformation, $U = e^{iD}$, for which $U^{-1}\Omega(\mathbf{k})U = a_Q(\mathbf{k})$, where D is given by

$$D = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} j_0(\mathbf{y}) \left\{ \xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} A_l(\mathbf{x}) + \eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \epsilon_{ln} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \Pi_n(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \quad (33)$$

and where ξ and η are given by

$$\xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right\} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}, \quad (34)$$

and

$$\eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) = 2im \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}}{k^2\omega^2}. \quad (35)$$

D can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} D = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v^4}{16k^3\kappa_0^{5/2}} \{a_R(\mathbf{k})j_0(-\mathbf{k}) - a_R^*(\mathbf{k})j_0(\mathbf{k})\} \\ & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8\gamma\kappa_0^{3/2}k}{\beta v^2\omega^2} \{a_Q(\mathbf{k})j_0(-\mathbf{k}) - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})j_0(\mathbf{k})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

We can use U to construct a set of state vectors $U|n\rangle$, for a subspace $\{|n\rangle\}$ of the previously established Hilbert Space $\{|h_i\rangle\}$, such that the state vectors $U|n\rangle$ constitute the physical subspace $\{|\nu\rangle\}$. The required state vectors $|n\rangle$ are those in which $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$, as well as electron and positron creation operators, act on the perturbative vacuum state $|0\rangle$. But no $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$ operators may appear in the $|n\rangle$ for which $U|n\rangle$ comprise the subspace $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, since for states, $|h_r\rangle$, in which $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$ operators act on the observable multiparticle states $|N\rangle$, $a_Q(\mathbf{k})|h_r\rangle \neq 0$; and, therefore, $\Omega(\mathbf{k})|\rho\rangle \neq 0$ for the states $|\rho\rangle = U|h_r\rangle$.

It is convenient to establish an entirely equivalent, alternative formalism, in which all operators and states are unitarily transformed by the unitary transformation U . Since all matrix elements and eigenvalues are invariant to such a similarity transformation, we can construct a map $\{|\nu\rangle\} \rightarrow \{|n\rangle\}$, $\Omega(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow a_Q(\mathbf{k})$, and, in general, for all other operators $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = U^{-1}\mathcal{P}U$. We may then use the transformed representation as an equivalent formulation of the theory, in which Gauss's Law and the gauge constraint, $A_0 = 0$, have been implemented. In that case, it becomes of particular significance to find the transformed Hamiltonian and the transformed field operators. Using the Baker-Hausdorff-Campbell formula, we find that

$$\tilde{H} = H_0 + \tilde{H}_{int} \quad (37)$$

where H_0 is untransformed and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{int} = & \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} j_0(\mathbf{x}) j_0(\mathbf{y}) K_0(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} j_0(\mathbf{x}) \epsilon_{ln} j_l(\mathbf{y}) (x - y)_n \mathcal{F}(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l=1,2} \frac{mk_l}{\sqrt{2}k\omega^{3/2}} \left\{ j_l(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + j_l(-\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l, n=1,2} \frac{i\epsilon_{ln} k_n}{k\sqrt{2}\omega} \left\{ j_l(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) - j_l(-\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4 \omega^2} \left\{ j_0(\mathbf{k}) a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) + j_0(-\mathbf{k}) a_Q(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l, n=1,2} \frac{8imk\kappa_0^{5/2} \epsilon_{ln} k_n}{v^4 \omega^2} \left\{ j_l(\mathbf{k}) a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) - j_l(-\mathbf{k}) a_Q(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l=1,2} \frac{8\gamma k \kappa_0^{3/2} k_l}{\beta v^2 \omega^2} \left\{ j_l(\mathbf{k}) a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) + j_l(-\mathbf{k}) a_Q(\mathbf{k}) \right\} \quad (38)$$

where $K_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function, and

$$\mathcal{F}(mQ) \equiv \frac{m^2}{Q} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{J_1(kQ)}{m^2 + k^2}. \quad (39)$$

We observe that $\mathcal{F}(mQ) \rightarrow m/Q$ as $Q \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{F}(mQ) \rightarrow 1/Q^2$ as $Q \rightarrow \infty$. The similarly transformed fields are

$$\tilde{A}_l(\mathbf{x}) = A_l(\mathbf{x}) - im \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln} k_n j_0(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}}{k^2 \omega^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$\tilde{\Pi}_l(\mathbf{x}) = \Pi_l(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right\} k_l j_0(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \quad (41)$$

$$\tilde{A}_0(\mathbf{x}) = A_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad (42)$$

$$\tilde{G}(\mathbf{x}) = G(\mathbf{x}), \quad (43)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \partial_l \Pi_l - \frac{1}{4} m \epsilon_{ln} F_{ln}, \quad (44)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})} \quad (45)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e}{2} \int d\mathbf{y} \left[\xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} A_l(\mathbf{y}) + \eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \epsilon_{ln} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} \Pi_n(\mathbf{y}) \right], \quad (46)$$

and

$$\tilde{E}_l(\mathbf{x}) = E_l(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x}), \quad (47)$$

$$\tilde{B}(\mathbf{x}) = B(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (48)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} \int d\mathbf{y} K_0(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) j_0(\mathbf{y}), \quad (49)$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{m}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{y} K_0(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) j_0(\mathbf{y}). \quad (50)$$

In this equivalent, alternative, representation, $\exp(-i\tilde{H}t)$ is the time-translation operator. A time-translation operator will time-translate state vectors entirely within the physical subspace in the transformed representation, if it is entirely devoid of $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{k})$ operators, or if it contains them at most in the combination $[a_R^*(\mathbf{k})a_Q(\mathbf{k}) + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})a_R(\mathbf{k})]$. Inspection of Eq. (38) confirms that $\tilde{H}$ is, in fact, entirely devoid of $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{k})$ operators, so that the time-translation operator, $\exp(-i\tilde{H}t)$, correctly satisfies this requirement. Observable states in the alternative transformed representation are described by state vectors in $\{|n\rangle\}$ which we designate as $|N\rangle$. These states consist of massive photons, electrons and positrons only, and have a positive norm. The operator $\exp(-i\tilde{H}t)$ time-translates such state vectors by generating a new state vector, at a later time t , which consists of further positive-norm state vectors $|N'\rangle$, as well as additional ghost states, all of which are represented by products of $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ operators acting on positive norm observable states. At all times, the positive norm states alone just saturate unitarity. We can define a quotient space, which is the residue of $\{|n\rangle\}$ after all zero-norm states have been excised from it. We can also define another Hamiltonian, $\tilde{H}_{quot}$, which consists of those parts of $\tilde{H}$ that remain after we have removed all the terms in which $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ or $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ is a factor; $\tilde{H}_{quot}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{quot} = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\omega}{2} \{a(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k})\} \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} j_0(\mathbf{x})j_0(\mathbf{y})K_0(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} j_0(\mathbf{x})\epsilon_{ln}j_l(\mathbf{y})(x - y)_n\mathcal{F}(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l=1,2} \frac{mk_l}{\sqrt{2}k\omega^{3/2}} \{j_l(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + j_l(-\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k})\} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, l, n=1,2} \frac{i\epsilon_{ln}k_n}{k\sqrt{2}\omega} \{j_l(\mathbf{k})a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) - j_l(-\mathbf{k})a(\mathbf{k})\} \\ & + H_{e\bar{e}}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

It is manifest that $\exp(-i\tilde{H}t)|N\rangle$ and $\exp(-i\tilde{H}_{quot}t)|N\rangle$, where $|N\rangle$ is in the positive-norm quotient space, have identical projections on other state vectors in the quotient space. The parts of $\tilde{H}$ that contain $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ or $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ as factors therefore do not play any role in the time evolution of state vectors within the quotient space of observable states, and can not have any effect on the physical predictions of the theory.

We observe that $\tilde{H}_I$ describes the interaction of massive photons with charged currents. It also describes non-local interactions between charged fermions. These interactions include the $2 + 1$ dimensional analog of the Coulomb interaction, with the inverse power of the distance between charges replaced by the modified Bessel function $K_0(m|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)$. Another such interaction, which has no analog in $3 + 1$ dimensional QED, couples charges and the transverse components of currents. The expressions for the non-local interactions among charge and current densities that result from these “ghost” field components are well-behaved and free from the kind of infrared singularities that one might anticipate from massless particle exchange in a $2+1$ dimensional model. The non-local interaction between charge and current densities, which is without an analog in $3+1$ dimensional QED, is a manifestation of an important difference between these two models. In $3+1$ dimensional QED, the magnetic field and the transverse electric field consist entirely of propagating photons (massless, in that case). The longitudinal electric field contains no propagating photons at all. In $2+1$ dimensional QED with a topological mass, however, propagating photon modes occur in the longitudinal as well as in the transverse electric field; and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ is a part of the magnetic field which is a non-local integral over the charge density, just as is the corresponding longitudinal electric field $\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x})$. $\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ accompany charges rigidly as they move in the plane. $\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x})$ behaves very much like the Coulomb field in $3+1$ dimensional QED; $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ follows the charge like the projection of an extended flux whisker on the plane. Although $\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ are fully determined by Gauss’s Law, the electric and magnetic fields, in their entirety, are not. Gauss’s Law does not force any specific relationship between the charge density and the field strengths. It is possible, for example, to form state vectors with electron-photon

combinations in which expectation values of $B(\mathbf{x})$, together with the $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ accompanying a given charge distribution, can produce practically any value of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{x})$, the total magnetic field in the transformed representation. These state vectors, when described in the alternate transformed representation, obey $\tilde{\Omega}(\mathbf{k})|n\rangle = a_Q(\mathbf{k})|n\rangle = 0$ and are entirely consistent with Gauss's Law.

The electron field operator, ψ , can be expanded as superpositions of electron and positron creation and annihilation operators, and 2- component spinors that constitute the single-particle solutions of the Dirac Equation. In the transformed representation, $e^\dagger(\mathbf{p})|0\rangle$ represents the one-electron state which obeys Gauss's Law, since $a_Q(\mathbf{k})e^\dagger(\mathbf{p})|0\rangle = 0$. Similarly, $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is a gauge-invariant spinor field in the transformed representation, and projects the positron state which obeys Gauss's Law ($\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$ projects the corresponding electron state) out of the vacuum $|0\rangle$. The corresponding gauge-invariant field, in the original representation, is $\psi(\mathbf{x})\exp(-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}))$. To demonstrate the gauge-invariance of this spinor operator, we note that gauge transformations are implemented by $\zeta \rightarrow \exp(-\mathcal{T})\zeta\exp(\mathcal{T})$, where $\mathcal{T} = i \int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})\chi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\chi(\mathbf{x})$ is a c-number function. Combining

$$e^{-\mathcal{T}}\psi(\mathbf{x})e^{-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})}e^{\mathcal{T}} = [e^{-\mathcal{T}}\psi(\mathbf{x})e^{\mathcal{T}}][e^{-\mathcal{T}}e^{-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})}e^{\mathcal{T}}], \quad (52)$$

with

$$e^{-\mathcal{T}}\psi(\mathbf{x})e^{\mathcal{T}} = \psi(\mathbf{x})e^{-ie\chi}, \quad (53)$$

and

$$e^{-\mathcal{T}}e^{-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})}e^{\mathcal{T}} = e^{-(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})-ie\chi)}, \quad (54)$$

we obtain the result that $\psi(\mathbf{x})\exp(-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}))$ is gauge-invariant. In the transformed representation, gauge transformations are implemented by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ instead of $\mathcal{T}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is given by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = i \int d\mathbf{x} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x})\chi(\mathbf{x})$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x})$ commutes with $\psi(\mathbf{x})$, $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ is the form of the spinor field that is gauge-invariant in the transformed representation.

Finally, we note that the subspace $\{|n\rangle\}$ of the Fock space $\{|h_i\rangle\}$ appears in two different and distinct contexts in this theory. In the first context, when used in conjunction with

the field operators A_l , Π_l , $\mathcal{G}$ and ψ , and with the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_I$, it is a space of states for which the necessary constraints have not been implemented, except in the physically uninteresting case of non-interacting photons and electrons, i.e., the case in which H_I has been eliminated from H . In this context, the states $|N\rangle$ in $\{|n\rangle\}$ that represent physically observable particles, are generally used to designate incident and scattered states in perturbative S-matrix elements. But, since these states do not implement Gauss's Law and the gauge condition, further arguments are necessary to justify their use. Such arguments will be reviewed in the next section.

In the second context, the subspace $\{|n\rangle\}$ is the space into which the unitary transformation U maps $\{|\nu\rangle\}$. In this context, $\{|n\rangle\}$ is used in conjunction with the unitarily transformed field operators $\tilde{A}_l$, $\tilde{\Pi}_l$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_l$, and the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$. When used in this context, $\{|n\rangle\}$ *does* implement Gauss's Law and the gauge condition, even in the presence of all electron-photon interactions. As it happens, the space $\{|n\rangle\}$, into which $\{|\nu\rangle\}$ is mapped, coincides with the space which is used perturbatively with the untransformed version of this theory, and which, in that context, implements Gauss's Law *only in the interaction-free limit* in which H_I has been eliminated from H . It is important to distinguish these two contexts in which $\{|n\rangle\}$ appears, and to interpret the states consistently with their proper role in these two distinct cases, i.e., the original, untransformed, and the transformed versions of this model.

IV. PERTURBATIVE THEORY

The perturbative S-matrix is given by $S_{f,i} = \delta_{fi} - 2\pi i \delta(E_f - E_i) T_{f,i}$, where $T_{f,i}$ is the transition amplitude

$$T_{f,i} = \langle f | H_I + H_I (E_i - H + i\varepsilon)^{-1} H_I | i \rangle. \quad (55)$$

The incident state, $|i\rangle$, and the final, scattered state, $|f\rangle$, are in $\{|n\rangle\}$, and when used in conjunction with these operators, these states fail to implement Gauss's Law properly. In

order to implement Gauss's Law as well as the gauge condition, we would have to use the transition amplitude

$$\bar{T}_{f,i} = \langle f | \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I (E_i - \tilde{H} + i\varepsilon)^{-1} \hat{H}_I | i \rangle, \quad (56)$$

where $\hat{H}_I = \tilde{H} - H_0$. In previous work [11], it has been shown that $T_{f,i}$ and $\bar{T}_{f,i}$ are related by

$$\bar{T}_{f,i} = T_{f,i} + (E_f - E_i) \mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\alpha + i\varepsilon \mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\beta \quad (57)$$

where $\mathcal{T}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{T}^\beta$ are matrix elements previously given [11]. Since $E_i = E_f$ in S-matrix elements, $\mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\beta$ do not contribute to the latter unless $\mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\alpha$ or $\mathcal{T}_{f,i}^\beta$ exhibit $(E_i - E_f)^{-1}$ or $(i\varepsilon)^{-1}$ singularities, respectively. Such singularities can develop only in self-energy corrections to external lines, and the resulting contributions are absorbed into wave function renormalization constants. [11] This result allowed us to substitute $T_{f,i}$ for $\bar{T}_{f,i}$ without affecting the expressions for renormalized S-matrix elements.

Eq. (55) leads to Feynman rules, which include the propagator

$$D_{ij}(x[1], x[2]) = \langle 0 | \hat{T} \{ A_i(x[1]), A_j(x[2]) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (58)$$

where $\hat{T}$ indicates time ordering, and where $x[1]$, $x[2]$ refer to two space-time points. $A_l(x)$ is the interaction-picture operator

$$A_l(x) = e^{-iH_0 t} A_l(\mathbf{x}) e^{iH_0 t}. \quad (59)$$

Eqs. (19) and (25) can be used to evaluate $A_l(x)$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} A_l(x) = & -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k_l}{k\omega^{3/2}} \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} + a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \right] \\ & + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln} k_n}{k\sqrt{\omega}} \left[a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} - a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{v^4 k_l}{k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}} \left[a_R(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_R^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right] \\ & - \frac{8\gamma}{\beta} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k \kappa_0^{3/2} k_l}{v^2 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 8im \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n=1,2} \frac{\epsilon_{ln} k_n k \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& - 4\gamma t \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k \sqrt{\kappa_0} k_l}{v^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right] \\
& - 8it \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2} k_l}{v^4 \omega^2} \left[a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} - a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$D_{ij}(x[1], x[2])$ then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij}(x[1], x[2]) &= - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\gamma v^2}{\beta \kappa_0 k^2 \omega^2} k_i k_j e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} \\
&- \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\gamma v^2}{4 \kappa_0^2 k^2} k_i k_j e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} (t_1 + t_2) - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{i}{2 \omega^2} k_i k_j e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} |t_1 - t_2| \\
&+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{im \epsilon_{ij}}{2 \omega^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} \{ \theta(t_1 - t_2) - \theta(t_2 - t_1) \} \\
&- \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{im \epsilon_{ij}}{2 \omega^2} \left\{ e^{-ik_\mu(x_1 - x_2)^\mu} \theta(t_1 - t_2) - e^{ik_\mu(x_1 - x_2)^\mu} \theta(t_2 - t_1) \right\} \\
&+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2 \omega} \left\{ \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{\omega^2} \right\} \left\{ e^{-ik_\mu(x_1 - x_2)^\mu} \theta(t_1 - t_2) + e^{ik_\mu(x_1 - x_2)^\mu} \theta(t_2 - t_1) \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

or equivalently, in covariant notation,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{ij}(x[1], x[2]) &= - \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^2} \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{v^2}{\beta \kappa_0 k^2 \omega^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta \omega^2}{4 \kappa_0} (t_1 + t_2) \right\} k_i k_j e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{k_\mu k^\mu - m^2} \left\{ \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k_0^2} - \frac{im \epsilon_{ij}}{k_0} \right\} e^{-ik_\mu(x_1 - x_2)^\mu}
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where the spurious pole at $k_0 = 0$ is evaluated with the principal value prescription. We can use this propagator to obtain the lowest order electron-electron scattering S-matrix element, $e(p_1) + e(p_2) \rightarrow e(p'_1) + e(p'_2)$. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{e-e}^{(2)} &= \frac{f(p_1, p'_1, p_2, p'_2) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_1) \gamma^\mu u(\mathbf{p}_1) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_2) \gamma_\mu u(\mathbf{p}_2)}{(p_1 - p'_1)_\mu (p_1 - p'_1)^\mu - m^2} \\
&- \frac{f(p_1, p'_1, p_2, p'_2) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_2) \gamma^\mu u(\mathbf{p}_1) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_1) \gamma_\mu u(\mathbf{p}_2)}{(p_1 - p'_2)_\mu (p_1 - p'_2)^\mu - m^2} \\
&+ \frac{m \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} (p_1 - p'_1)_\alpha f(p_1, p'_1, p_2, p'_2) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_1) \gamma_\mu u(\mathbf{p}_1) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_2) \gamma_\nu u(\mathbf{p}_2)}{(p_1 - p'_1)_\mu (p_1 - p'_1)^\mu \{ (p_1 - p'_1)_\nu (p_1 - p'_1)^\nu - m^2 \}} \\
&- \frac{m \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} (p_1 - p'_2)_\alpha f(p_1, p'_1, p_2, p'_2) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_2) \gamma_\mu u(\mathbf{p}_1) \bar{u}(\mathbf{p}'_1) \gamma_\nu u(\mathbf{p}_2)}{(p_1 - p'_2)_\mu (p_1 - p'_2)^\mu \{ (p_1 - p'_2)_\nu (p_1 - p'_2)^\nu - m^2 \}}
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where

$$f(p_1, p'_1, p_2, p'_2) = \frac{m_e(-ie)^2(2\pi)^3}{\sqrt{w(p_1)w(p_2)w(p'_1)w(p'_2)}}\delta^{(3)}(p'_1 + p'_2 - p_1 - p_2). \quad (64)$$

V. ROTATION OPERATOR FOR CHARGED PARTICLES

It has been demonstrated that in pure CS theory, a charged particle of charge e interacting with a CS field in the absence of the Maxwell kinetic energy, acquires the phase e^2/m when it is rotated through 2π radians. Similarly, a state consisting of two charged particles acquires this phase, when the two particles are interchanged in a π radian rotation. [4,6,9] This arbitrary phase has been interpreted as a signal that charged particles, “dressed” in the CS fields required to obey Gauss’s Law, manifest anyonic behavior. We will here examine the effect of 2π rotations on charged particles in the same model, but with a Maxwell term included—i.e., $2 + 1$ dimensional QED with a topological mass, interacting with electrons described by the $2 + 1$ dimensional Dirac Equation. We will argue that, in this case, charged particle states only change signs under a 2π rotation, and continue to behave like fermions even when they carry the fields they need to obey Gauss’s Law.

The canonical (Noether) angular momentum of the model is

$$J = J_g + J_e, \quad (65)$$

where J_g and J_e are the angular momenta of the gauge field and the spinors, respectively. J_g and J_e are given by

$$J_g = - \int d\mathbf{x} \Pi_i x_l \epsilon_{ln} \partial_n A_i + \int d\mathbf{x} G x_l \epsilon_{ln} \partial_n A_0 - \int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{ln} \Pi_l A_n \quad (66)$$

and

$$J_e = -i \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger x_l \epsilon_{ln} \partial_n \psi - \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \psi. \quad (67)$$

In each of these expressions, the last term refers to the spin, the others to the orbital angular momentum. Direct calculation verifies that $[H, J] = 0$, so that the total angular momentum is time independent.

The interpretation of these angular momentum operators, in terms of the angular momenta of the constituent particle mode excitations, is greatly simplified when we replace single particle plane waves with eigenstates of angular momentum. We therefore substitute gauge field annihilation and creation operators describing excitations with definite angular momentum, $\alpha_n(k)$ and $\alpha_n^\dagger(k)$, respectively, for the corresponding plane wave excitations $a(\mathbf{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$. For this purpose, we use

$$\alpha_n(k) = \frac{e^{in\pi/2}}{2\pi} \int d\tau a(\mathbf{k}) e^{-in\tau}, \quad (68)$$

where τ is the angle that fixes the direction of $\mathbf{k}$ in the plane, and a corresponding expression for the hermitian adjoints $\alpha_n^\dagger(k)$ and $a^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$. Similar expressions apply to the ghost operators $\alpha_{Q,n}(k)$, $\alpha_{R,n}(k)$, and their respective adjoints, $\alpha_{Q,n}^*(k)$, $\alpha_{R,n}^*(k)$. In all cases, Eq. (68) relates these operators with their respective plane wave analogs, $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$, $a_R(\mathbf{k})$, $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_R^*(\mathbf{k})$. The gauge fields $A_i(\mathbf{x})$, $\Pi_i(\mathbf{x})$, $A_0(\mathbf{x})$, and $G(\mathbf{x})$ are given, in terms of $\alpha_n(k)$, $\alpha_n(k)$, $\alpha_{Q,n}(k)$, $\alpha_{R,n}(k)$ and their adjoints, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_l(\rho, \varphi) = & \frac{i}{2} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left\{ [\Xi_{l,n}^{(+)} - \frac{m}{\omega} \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)}] \alpha_n(k) - [\Xi_{l,n}^{(+)*} - \frac{m}{\omega} \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)*}] \alpha_n^\dagger(k) \right\} \\ + & 4i \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{k^2 \kappa_0^{5/2} m}{v^4 \omega^2} \left\{ [\Xi_{l,n}^{(+)} - \frac{\gamma v^2}{\beta \kappa_0 m} \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)}] \alpha_{Q,n}(k) - [\Xi_{l,n}^{(+)*} - \frac{\gamma v^2}{\beta \kappa_0 m} \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)*}] \alpha_{Q,n}^*(k) \right\} \\ + & \frac{i}{32} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{v^4}{k^2 \kappa_0^{5/2}} \left\{ \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)} \alpha_{R,n}(k) - \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)*} \alpha_{R,n}^*(k) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where $\Xi_{l,n}^\pm$ are solutions of the two-dimensional Laplace equation in cylindrical coordinates given by

$$\Xi_{l,n}^{(\pm)} = \epsilon_l^{(+)} J_{n+1}(k\rho) e^{i(n+1)\varphi} \pm \epsilon_l^{(-)} J_{n-1}(k\rho) e^{i(n-1)\varphi}, \quad (70)$$

with

$$\epsilon_l^{(\pm)} = \pm i \epsilon_{l,1} + \epsilon_{l,2}, \quad (71)$$

$$\Pi_l(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{m}{4\sqrt{2\omega}} \left\{ \left[\frac{2\omega}{m} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} \right) \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)} - \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)} \right] \alpha_n(k) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[\frac{2\omega}{m} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} \right) \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)*} - \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)*} \right] \alpha_n^\dagger(k) \Big\} \\
& - \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{2mk^2\kappa_0^{3/2}}{v^2\omega^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)} + \frac{m\kappa}{v^2} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} \right) \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)} \right] \alpha_{Q,n}(k) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left[\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)*} + \frac{m\kappa}{v^2} \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} \right) \Xi_{l,n}^{(-)*} \right] \alpha_{Q,n}^*(k) \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{64} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{mv^4}{k^2\kappa_0^{5/2}} \left\{ \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)} \alpha_{R,n}(k) + \Xi_{l,n}^{(+)*} \alpha_{R,n}^*(k) \right\}, \tag{72}
\end{aligned}$$

$$A_0(\rho, \varphi) = -4i\gamma \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{k\sqrt{\kappa_0}}{v^2} J_n(k\rho) \left[\alpha_{Q,n}(k) e^{in\varphi} - \alpha_{Q,n}^*(k) e^{-in\varphi} \right], \tag{73}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\rho, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{8\gamma} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{v^2}{k\sqrt{\kappa_0}} J_n(k\rho) \left[\alpha_{R,n}(k) e^{in\varphi} + \alpha_{R,n}^*(k) e^{-in\varphi} \right] \\
&+ \frac{16}{\beta} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{k^3\kappa_0^{7/2}}{v^4\omega^2} J_n(k\rho) \left[\alpha_{Q,n}(k) e^{in\varphi} + \alpha_{Q,n}^*(k) e^{-in\varphi} \right]. \tag{74}
\end{aligned}$$

The operators $\alpha_i(k)$ and $\alpha_j^\dagger(k)$ obey the commutation rules

$$[\alpha_i(k), \alpha_j^\dagger(q)] = \frac{2\pi}{k} \delta(k - q) \delta_{ij}, \tag{75}$$

and

$$[\alpha_{Q,i}(k), \alpha_{R,j}^*(q)] = [\alpha_{R,i}(k), \alpha_{Q,j}^*(q)] = \frac{2\pi}{k} \delta(k - q) \delta_{ij}. \tag{76}$$

We also define the single particle solutions of the Dirac Equation,

$$u_+(n, k; \rho, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\bar{\omega}_k(M + \bar{\omega}_k)}} \begin{pmatrix} ikJ_n(k\rho)e^{in\varphi} \\ (M + \bar{\omega}_k)J_{n+1}(k\rho)e^{i(n+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{77}$$

and

$$u_-(n, k; \rho, \varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\bar{\omega}_k(M + \bar{\omega}_k)}} \begin{pmatrix} (M + \bar{\omega}_k)J_n(k\rho)e^{in\varphi} \\ ikJ_{n+1}(k\rho)e^{i(n+1)\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \tag{78}$$

where $J_s(x)$ is the Bessel function and $\bar{\omega}_k = M^2 + k^2$. The $u_\pm(n, k; \rho, \varphi)$ are normalized so that

$$\int \frac{\rho d\rho d\varphi}{(2\pi)^2} u_{\pm}^{\dagger}(n, k; \rho, \varphi) u_{\pm}(n, k; \rho, \varphi) = 1, \quad (79)$$

and

$$\int \frac{\rho d\rho d\varphi}{(2\pi)^2} u_{\pm}^{\dagger}(n, k; \rho, \varphi) u_{\mp}(n, k; \rho, \varphi) = 0, \quad (80)$$

and they obey the equation

$$- (i\epsilon_{ln} x_l \partial_n + \frac{\gamma^0}{2}) u_{\pm}(n, k; \rho, \varphi) = (n + \frac{1}{2}) u_{\pm}(n, k; \rho, \varphi). \quad (81)$$

We expand the spinor field $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ in terms of these angular momentum eigenstates and obtain

$$\psi(\rho, \varphi) = \sum_n \int \frac{k dk}{2\pi} \{ b_n(k) u_+(n, k; \rho, \varphi) + \bar{b}_n^{\dagger}(k) u_-(n, k; \rho, \varphi) \} \quad (82)$$

where $b_n(k)$ and $\bar{b}_n(k)$ are the electron and positron annihilation operators, respectively, for states with definite angular momentum; $b_n^{\dagger}(k)$ and $\bar{b}_n^{\dagger}(k)$ are the corresponding creation operators. When the corresponding expressions for the gauge fields $A_i(\mathbf{x})$, $\Pi_i(\mathbf{x})$, $A_0(\mathbf{x})$, and $G(\mathbf{x})$ together with Eq. (82) are used in expanding the angular momentum operator, we obtain

$$J_g = \sum_n \int \frac{k dk}{2\pi} n \left[\alpha_n^{\dagger}(k) \alpha_n(k) + \alpha_{R,n}^{\star}(k) \alpha_{Q,n}(k) + \alpha_{Q,n}^{\star}(k) \alpha_{R,n}(k) \right] \quad (83)$$

and

$$J_e = \sum_n \int \frac{k dk}{2\pi} (n + \frac{1}{2}) \left[b_n^{\dagger}(k) b_n(k) - \bar{b}_n^{\dagger}(k) \bar{b}_n(k) \right]. \quad (84)$$

Questions have been raised about whether the canonical angular momentum operator is appropriate for determining the phase acquired by a charged particle under a 2π rotation. [9,10] We will therefore discuss the properties of J in some detail. We make the following observations:

1) Direct calculation verifies that $[H, J] = 0$, so that the total angular momentum is time-independent.

2) J is invariant to a time-independent gauge transformation, $\psi \rightarrow \exp(i e \chi) \psi$, $A_l \rightarrow A_l + \partial_l \chi$ and $\Pi_l \rightarrow \Pi_l + (1/2) m \epsilon_{ln} \partial_n \chi$. Under such a gauge transformation,

$$J \rightarrow J + \int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}) \epsilon_{ln} x_l \partial_n \chi(\mathbf{x}); \quad (85)$$

and since matrix elements $\langle \nu' | \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}) | \nu \rangle$ vanish for $|\nu\rangle$ and $|\nu'\rangle$ in $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, J remains untransformed within the physical subspace. As we have shown in Sec. III, all state vectors for observable systems always remain in the physical subspace $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, since the time evolution operator cannot transport them out of it. Therefore, the only significance we can consistently attach to a dynamical variable in this theory resides in its matrix elements within this physical subspace. For the canonical angular momentum, J , these matrix elements are totally unaffected by time-independent gauge transformations. Time-dependent gauge transformations cannot be implemented within this formulation, because a time-dependent χ function is not consistent with a temporal gauge formulation. The canonical angular momentum is similar to the Hamiltonian and to the linear momentum in the following respects: Its functional form is specific to a formulation in a particular gauge. Its matrix elements in the physical subspace are invariant to gauge transformations permitted within a particular gauge (e.g., it is limited to time-independent χ functions in the temporal gauge). And its validity as a dynamical variable is completely compatible with these properties.

3) The eigenvalues of J are integral for a photon state, and half-integral for an electron or positron state. This follows immediately from Eqs. (83) and (84).

4) The rotation operator, $R(\theta) = \exp(iJ\theta)$, rotates particles states correctly. The electron state $|N\rangle = b_N^\dagger |0\rangle$ is rotated into $|N'\rangle = \exp[i(N + 1/2)\theta] |N\rangle$. To observe the effect of this rotation on the field $\psi(\mathbf{x})$, we consider the matrix element of $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ between the vacuum and a one-electron state, $\langle \psi_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle 0 | \psi(\mathbf{x}) b_N^\dagger(p) | 0 \rangle$. When we rotate the state $|N\rangle$ into $|N'\rangle$, we obtain $\langle \psi_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle 0 | \psi(\mathbf{x}) R(\theta) b_N^\dagger(p) | 0 \rangle$; since $\langle \psi_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = u_+(N, p; \rho, \varphi)$,

$$\langle \psi_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = e^{i(N+1/2)\theta} u_+(N, p; \rho, \varphi). \quad (86)$$

$\langle \psi_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ can therefore be expressed as

$$\langle \psi_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\bar{\omega}_k(M + \bar{\omega}_k)}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} i p J_N(kr) e^{iN(\varphi+\theta)} \\ e^{-i\theta/2} (M + \bar{\omega}_k) J_{N+1}(kr) e^{i(N+1)(\varphi+\theta)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (87)$$

and, equivalently, as

$$\langle \psi_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = e^{-i\gamma^1 \gamma^2 (\theta/2)} u_+(N, k; \rho, \varphi + \theta), \quad (88)$$

as required. In the case of the gauge field, the matrix element of $A_l(\mathbf{x})$ between the vacuum and a one-photon state $\alpha_N^\dagger(k)|0\rangle$ is $\langle [A_l]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle 0|A_l(\mathbf{x})\alpha_N^\dagger(k)|0\rangle$; after a rotation, this matrix element is $\langle [A_l]_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle 0|A_l(\mathbf{x})R(\theta)\alpha_N^\dagger(k)|0\rangle$. $\langle [A_l]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle [A_1]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2k\omega}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\omega}\right) J_{N+1}(kr) e^{i(N+1)\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{\omega}\right) J_{N-1}(kr) e^{i(N-1)\varphi} \right\} \quad (89)$$

and

$$\langle [A_2]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k\omega}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{m}{\omega}\right) J_{N+1}(kr) e^{i(N+1)\varphi} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{m}{\omega}\right) J_{N-1}(kr) e^{i(N-1)\varphi} \right\}. \quad (90)$$

The rotated matrix elements then are easily shown to be

$$\langle [A_1]_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle [A_1]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \cos \theta + \langle [A_2]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \sin \theta, \quad (91)$$

and

$$\langle [A_2]_{N'(\theta)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = -\langle [A_1]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \sin \theta + \langle [A_2]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \cos \theta, \quad (92)$$

again as required. It is an immediate corollary of the preceding demonstration that, for $\theta = 2\pi$, $\langle [A_l]_{N'(2\pi)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle [A_l]_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$, while $\langle \psi_{N'(2\pi)}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = -\langle \psi_N(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$. The effect of the rotation operator, $R(\theta)$, therefore is that the fermion states change sign in a 2π rotation, but do not acquire any further arbitrary phase.

Finally, the following crucial question remains to be considered: The “bare” one-electron state $|N\rangle$ violates the constraint $\Omega(\mathbf{k})|N\rangle = 0$, and is not in the physical subspace, $\{|\nu\rangle\}$. Since it is known that the implementation of Gauss’s Law is responsible for manifestations of anyonic behavior, [4,6,9] it is necessary to go beyond the examination of how simple perturbative one-particle states rotate in the plane. To investigate the behavior, under 2π rotations, of the electron state which is attached to the electric and magnetic fields $\mathcal{E}_l(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ respectively, so that it obeys Gauss’s Law, we must substitute $e^{-D}|N\rangle$ for the electron

state $|N\rangle$. Equivalently, we may use the alternate, transformed formalism. In that case, we can continue to use $|N\rangle$ as the electron state, and $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ as the gauge-invariant spinor field, and substitute $\tilde{J} = \exp(-D)J\exp(D)$ for J to represent the angular momentum. This approach, when applied to the canonical angular momentum operator in pure CS theory, demonstrates that $\tilde{J}$ differs from J , and that the difference accounts for the phase $\eta = (Q^2/2\pi m)$, where Q is the electron charge

$$Q = e \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} [b_n^\dagger(k)b_n(k) - \bar{b}_n^\dagger(k)\bar{b}_n(k)]. \quad (93)$$

The difference between $\tilde{J}$ and J accounts for the fact that in pure CS theory, the “true” electron state, for which Gauss’s Law is implemented, acquires the arbitrary phase η characteristic of an anyon when it is rotated through 2π . [6]

In contrast, in the model we are investigating here, J is unaffected by the unitary transformation

$$\tilde{J} = e^{-D} J e^D, \quad (94)$$

so that $\tilde{J} = J$. This fact indicates that, once the Maxwell kinetic energy is included in the Lagrangian, electron states that obey Gauss’s Law do not acquire arbitrary phases in 2π rotations, and should not be expected to behave like anyons. To demonstrate this feature of this model, we reexpress D , given in Eq. (36), in terms of the gauge field and electron operators that designate excitations with definite angular momenta. The resulting expression is

$$\begin{aligned} D = & \int \frac{\rho d\rho d\varphi}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{v^4}{16k^3\kappa_0^{5/2}} J_{-n}(k\rho) \left\{ \alpha_{R,n}(k)e^{in\varphi} - \alpha_{R,n}^*(k)e^{-in\varphi} \right\} j_0(\rho, \varphi) \\ & + \int \frac{\rho d\rho d\varphi}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_n \int \frac{kdk}{2\pi} \frac{8\gamma\kappa_0^{3/2}k}{\beta v^2\omega^2} J_{-n}(k\rho) \left\{ \alpha_{Q,n}(k)e^{in\varphi} - \alpha_{Q,n}^*(k)e^{-in\varphi} \right\} j_0(\rho, \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

We use Eqs. (83) and (84) to evaluate $[J, D]$ in the first term of the Baker-Hausdorff-Campbell expansion of $\tilde{J}$, and note that $[J_g, D] = -[J_e, D]$, so that $[J, D] = 0$. All higher

order commutators in the expansion of $\exp(-iD)J \exp(iD)$ then vanish trivially, and we have shown that $\tilde{J} = J$.

As a further illustration of this feature of this model, we observe that $[J, D]$ is not only the first term in the expansion of $\tilde{J}$; it can also be interpreted as the generator of the rotation group acting on D . To understand $[J, D]$ from this point of view, we refer to Eq. (33) and note that D is an integral over two spaces ($\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$), and that the integrand is a product of two scalar operators, $\partial_l A_l(\mathbf{x})$ or $\epsilon_{ln} \partial_l \pi_n(\mathbf{x})$, and $j_0(\mathbf{y})$, connected by a c-number scalar invariant function of $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$. Since $\partial_l A_l$ and $\epsilon_{ln} \partial_l \pi_n$ are scalar operator, $[J_g, D]$ is given by

$$[J_g, D] = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \{ [\epsilon_{ij} x_i \partial_j \partial_l A_l(\mathbf{x})] \xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) - [\epsilon_{ij} x_i \partial_j \epsilon_{ln} \partial_l \pi_n(\mathbf{x})] \eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \} j_0(\mathbf{y}) \quad (96)$$

and

$$[J_e, D] = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \{ \partial_l A_l(\mathbf{x}) \xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) - \epsilon_{ln} \partial_l \pi_n(\mathbf{x}) \eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \} \epsilon_{ij} y_i \partial_j j_0(\mathbf{y}). \quad (97)$$

Integrations by parts transfer the orbital angular momenta

$$\epsilon_{ij} x_i (\partial / \partial x_j)$$

and

$$\epsilon_{ij} y_i (\partial / \partial y_j)$$

from the gauge fields and j_0 , respectively, to the functions $\xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)$ and $\eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)$. The result is that the orbital angular momenta $\epsilon_{ij} x_i (\partial / \partial x_j)$ and $\epsilon_{ij} y_i (\partial / \partial y_j)$ appear in $[J, D]$ only in the expressions

$$[\epsilon_{ij} x_i (\partial / \partial x_j) + \epsilon_{ij} y_i (\partial / \partial y_j)] \xi(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)$$

and

$$[\epsilon_{ij} x_i (\partial / \partial x_j) + \epsilon_{ij} y_i (\partial / \partial y_j)] \eta(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|).$$

Moreover, $[\epsilon_{ij} x_i (\partial / \partial x_j) + \epsilon_{ij} y_i (\partial / \partial y_j)]$ can be expressed as

$$[\epsilon_{ij}r_i(\partial/\partial r_j) + \epsilon_{ij}R_i(\partial/\partial R_j)],$$

where $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})/2$.

$$[\epsilon_{ij}r_i(\partial/\partial r_j) + \epsilon_{ij}R_i(\partial/\partial R_j)]\xi(r)$$

and

$$[\epsilon_{ij}r_i(\partial/\partial r_j) + \epsilon_{ij}R_i(\partial/\partial R_j)]\eta(r)$$

vanish trivially because neither $\xi(r)$ nor $\eta(r)$ have any angular dependence in r , and both are independent of R . The result that $[J, D] = 0$ therefore depends on the fact that $\xi(r)$ and $\eta(r)$ are independent of $\mathbf{R}$ and of the angle in $\mathbf{r}$. There are, therefore, basic kinematic reasons for the identity of $\tilde{J}$ and J , which apply to this model but not, as Ref. [6] shows, to pure CS theory.

Lastly, we remark on the anticommutation rules of the electron fields in this model. The gauge-invariant spinor fields $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \exp[-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})]$ and $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})]$, which project the electron and positron states that obey Gauss's Law, out of the vacuum, are unitary transforms of $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$, respectively. The anticommutation rules of $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \exp[-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})]$ and $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) \exp[\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})]$ are, therefore, identical to those of $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi^\dagger(\mathbf{x})$, and characteristic of fermions.

In other work on 2 + 1 dimensional QED with a topological mass, Belinfante's "symmetric" angular momentum operator, $\mathcal{J}$, has been substituted for the canonical angular momentum in determining whether charged particles acquire arbitrary "anyonic" phases when they are rotated through 2π radians, or when two charged particles are exchanged via rotations. [9,10] We will briefly discuss this substitution in the context of our formulation of this model. $\mathcal{J}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{J} = -i \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger \epsilon_{ln} x_l (\partial_n - ieA_n) \psi - \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^\dagger \frac{\gamma_0}{2} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} x_n F_{n0} \epsilon_{ij} F_{ij}, \quad (98)$$

and can be expressed in terms of the canonical angular momentum, J , given in Eq. (66), as shown by

$$\mathcal{J} = J + J_{\text{surface}} + J_{\text{gauss}} \quad (99)$$

where J_{surface} is the surface term

$$J_{\text{surface}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \partial_i (\epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n \Pi_i), \quad (100)$$

and where J_{gauss} is a contribution that vanishes when $\mathcal{G} = 0$, so that Gauss's Law applies.

J_{gauss} is given by

$$J_{\text{gauss}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n \mathcal{G}. \quad (101)$$

The argument has been made that, when Gauss's Law applies, J_{gauss} vanishes, and $\mathcal{J}$ then consists only of the canonical angular momentum, J , and the surface term J_{surface} ; the latter is then identified with the arbitrary anyonic phase in the rotation of charged states. [9,10] We will examine here whether J_{gauss} actually vanishes in the physical subspace $\{|\nu\rangle\}$.

There is no doubt that any operator

$$W = \int d\mathbf{x} U(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (102)$$

where $U(\mathbf{x})$ is a c-number function, vanishes in $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, and that $W(\mathbf{x}, t) = W(\mathbf{x}, t = 0)$ in $\{|\nu\rangle\}$, so it remains zero permanently. However, in J_{gauss} , $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})$ is not integrated over a c-number function, but over the operator-valued quantity $\epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n(\mathbf{x})$, so that its behavior under time evolution is more complicated. To simplify our discussion, we transform to the alternate representation, as discussed in Sec. III, and obtain the result that

$$\tilde{J}_{\text{gauss}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{ln} x_l \tilde{A}_n \tilde{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (103)$$

Eqs. (40) and (44) allow us to express Eq. (103) in the form

$$\tilde{J}_{\text{gauss}} = \int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{jl} x_j \left\{ A_l(\mathbf{x}) - im \sum_{\mathbf{k}, n} \frac{\epsilon_{ln} k_n j_0(\mathbf{k})}{k^2 \omega^2} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (104)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4} [a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}]. \quad (105)$$

We focus attention on the term

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{8k^3 \kappa_0^{5/2}}{v^4} [a_Q(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + a_Q^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}]$$

in $\tilde{J}_{\text{gauss}}$, in which the $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})$ and $a_Q(\mathbf{k})$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{x})$ combine with the $a_R^*(\mathbf{q})$ and $a_R(\mathbf{q})$ in $A_n(\mathbf{x})$ to contribute the products $a_Q^*(\mathbf{k})a_R^*(\mathbf{q})$ and $a_Q(\mathbf{k})a_R(\mathbf{q})$. As we have shown in Sec. III, these products have the effect of driving state vectors out of the physical subspace, into the part of the Hilbert Space in which Gauss's Law is no longer valid. Using $\exp(i\mathcal{J}\varphi)$ as a rotation operator would rotate state vectors out of the physical subspace; Gauss's Law, and the identity that $\mathcal{J} = J + (\text{surface term})$, would therefore not apply during and after a rotation performed with the rotation operator $\exp(i\mathcal{J}\varphi)$.

Alternatively, if we follow Refs. [9] and [10], and define the physical subspace as consisting of state vectors $|\mathcal{N}\rangle$ which obey $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})|\mathcal{N}\rangle = 0$, the same difficulty reappears in a different guise. $\epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})$ do not commute, and the operator product $\epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})$ is ill-defined unless the operator order is specified carefully. Even if a state $|\mathcal{N}\rangle$ obeys $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})|\mathcal{N}\rangle = 0$, there will be many states $|\mathcal{N}'\rangle$, that arise in the expression $|\mathcal{N}'\rangle \langle \mathcal{N}'' | \epsilon_{ln} x_l A_n(\mathbf{x}) | \mathcal{N} \rangle$, where $|\mathcal{N}'\rangle \langle \mathcal{N}'' |$ is the unit operator in this space, for which $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})|\mathcal{N}'\rangle \neq 0$. The question, whether J_{gauss} vanishes in the space defined by $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x})|\mathcal{N}\rangle = 0$, is therefore dependent on operator ordering and problematical. In contrast, the ambiguities in the operator products that appear in the canonical angular momentum are benign, and are resolved by normal ordering the expressions in Eqs. (83) and (84).

The canonical angular momentum provides us with a satisfactory rotation operator, which gives completely consistent results for the rotation of particle states, as well as for matrix elements of field operators; and it does so when Gauss's Law is implemented for the charged particle states. It appears to us appropriate, in interpreting this model, not to arbitrarily abandon the canonical (Noether) angular momentum, and with it the fermionic property of the electron, when there is no compelling reason for doing so.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-AC02-79ER 10336.A.

REFERENCES

- ¹ S. Girvin and R. Prange, *The Quantum Hall Effect* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987); Z. Zhang, T. Hansson, and S. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 980 (1989).
- ² R. B. Laughlin, Science **242**, 525 (1988); R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2677 (1988); A. Fetter, C. Hanna, and R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 9679 (1989); A. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **3** 325 (1988); Y.-H. Chen, F. Wilczek, E. Witten, and B. Halperin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **3** 1001 (1989).
- ³ S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982).
- ⁴ C. Hagen, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **157**, 342 (1984); Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2135 (1985).
- ⁵ G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 517 (1988).
- ⁶ M. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 552 (1990).
- ⁷ G. V. Dunne, R. Jackiw, and C. A. Trugenberger, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **194**, 197 (1989).
- ⁸ M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B326**, 557 (1989).
- ⁹ G. W. Semenoff and P. Sodano, Nucl. Phys. **B328**, 753 (1989).
- ¹⁰ G. W. Semenoff and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Lett. **B184**, 397 (1987).
- ¹¹ K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1830 (1987).
- ¹² K. Haller, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1839 (1987).
- ¹³ P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964).
- ¹⁴ Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica*, v. 2.0, (Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, Illinois, 1991).
- ¹⁵ We use a standard representation for the electron field operator in $2 + 1$ dimensions,

such as the one given in Ref. [6], but with a choice of gamma matrices given in the paragraph following Eq. (1).